

**ARTICLE REVIEW**

**Article title**: …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………....…..………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..………………

**PART I. Assessment of the article (please indicate one answer for each category)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Unsatisfa ctory\* | Satisfa ctory | Good | Very good | Excellent |
| **Relevance of the topic***To what extent is the topic relevant from the scientific point of view?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Novelty***To what extent does the article contain new ideas?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Originality***To what extent is the artical original, i.e., are the ideas presented important in comparison to the present state of the art?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Application of results***To what extent can the result of the paper be applied in practice or contribute to the development of theory?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Methodological aspects***To what extent are the proper research methods used and applied? Are research data properly presented and analysed?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Clarity of arguments***To what extent are the arguments presented in a clear, transparent and logical way?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Logic of structure***To what extent is the structure of the article clear, coherent and consequent?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Illustrations and tables***To what extent are the illustrations used (tables, figures, diagrams, etc.) properly designed and presented?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Literature used***To what extent is the literaturę properly selected? Are there important papers the author(s) have not mentioned?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Formal and language issues***To what extent is the article written using proper, understandable and scientific language?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Scientific level***To what extent is the article scientific?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| \* - - please explain in part III |

**PART II. Conclusion – please indicate either yes or no.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | tak | nie |
| The article can be published without changes |  |  |
| The article can be published after minor changed |  |  |
| After serious improvement, the article should be sent for acceptation by the reviewer |  |  |



**PART III. Explanation when one of the categories in Part I was assessed as unsatisfactory.**

Comments:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Changes to be made before publishing the article:

Necessary changes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Optional changes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

**The information below will be available only for the Editorial Office, according to the “double blind review” procedure**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| First and last name of the Reviewer …………………………………………………………………… | Signature |